

Comparative Study of IFRS and GAAP in Financial Reporting

Simran

Professor, Department of Commerce, NIILM University, Kaithal

Abstract

Financial reporting is a cornerstone of transparency, accountability, and trust in both domestic and global business environments. As globalization intensifies capital market integration, the need for uniform and comparable financial information has become critical. The two dominant frameworks guiding financial reporting are the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). While both aim to provide reliable and relevant financial information, their conceptual foundations differ significantly—IFRS being principle-based, promoting flexibility and professional judgment, and GAAP being rule-based, emphasizing detailed guidance and compliance. This paper conducts a comprehensive comparative study of IFRS and GAAP, focusing on their structure, recognition, measurement, and disclosure principles. Using qualitative and descriptive research methods supported by secondary data and a case study of Apple Inc., the paper evaluates how the application of each standard influences financial reporting outcomes, investor decision-making, and cross-border comparability. The findings highlight that although convergence efforts between IASB and FASB have narrowed the gap, substantial differences persist in key areas such as revenue recognition, inventory valuation, and lease accounting. The study concludes that global harmonization of accounting standards remains essential for enhancing investor confidence, reducing reporting complexity, and facilitating international trade and investment.

Keywords: IFRS, GAAP, Financial Reporting, Accounting Standards, Global Convergence, International Accounting, Transparency, Financial Disclosure, Apple Inc., Harmonization

Introduction

Financial reporting serves as the backbone of any economic system by providing relevant

and reliable information to a wide range of stakeholders, including investors, creditors, regulators, and policymakers. It ensures that

the performance and financial position of an enterprise are presented in a manner that facilitates sound decision-making and promotes trust in capital markets. The development and adoption of accounting standards have, therefore, become essential in achieving uniformity, transparency, and comparability in financial statements.

Globally, two major accounting frameworks dominate the field of financial reporting—the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). IFRS, developed and issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), aims to provide a common global language for business affairs, enabling companies to be understood and compared across international boundaries. It is adopted in more than 140 countries, including those in the European Union, Asia, and Africa, and serves as a benchmark for global harmonization. On the other hand, GAAP, developed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) in the United States, represents a comprehensive, detailed, and rule-oriented approach to accounting, ensuring accuracy, consistency, and compliance within the U.S. regulatory environment.

The fundamental distinction between IFRS and GAAP lies in their philosophical approach to financial reporting. IFRS is principle-based, emphasizing the application of broad accounting principles that require professional judgment and interpretation, which allows flexibility and adaptability to different business situations. Conversely, GAAP is rule-based, providing detailed and specific rules for various accounting treatments, leaving limited scope for interpretation but offering consistency and reduced ambiguity. These differences impact how companies recognize revenue, measure inventory, treat leases, account for intangible assets, and disclose contingent liabilities.

As global business transactions continue to expand and multinational corporations operate in multiple jurisdictions, the diversity of accounting standards has created challenges in cross-border financial reporting. Investors and analysts face difficulties in comparing financial statements prepared under different frameworks, which may lead to misinterpretations and inefficiencies in global capital markets. Consequently, the convergence of IFRS and GAAP has been a major international accounting initiative, aiming to develop a unified set of high-quality

global accounting standards that would enhance transparency, comparability, and economic efficiency.

In recent years, several efforts have been undertaken by the IASB and FASB through joint projects to align key accounting areas such as revenue recognition, lease accounting, and financial instruments. Despite these advances, full convergence remains a challenge due to differences in legal, cultural, and economic environments, as well as regulatory and political considerations in respective jurisdictions.

Therefore, this study aims to conduct a comparative analysis of IFRS and GAAP, focusing on their conceptual foundations, application in financial reporting, and

implications for businesses and investors. By exploring these differences and examining real-world evidence through a case study, this research seeks to provide insights into how these standards influence financial reporting quality, decision-making, and the future prospects for global accounting harmonization.

Research Objectives

The overarching goal of this study is to undertake a comprehensive comparative analysis of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), identifying their similarities, differences, and implications in financial reporting.

To achieve this goal, the study is guided by the following specific objectives:

Objective No.	Research Objective	Focus Area	Expected Outcome / Purpose
1	To analyze the conceptual framework underlying IFRS and GAAP and examine how their philosophical foundations influence accounting practices.	Nature of standards (principle-based vs. rule-based), conceptual underpinnings	Understanding how theoretical foundations shape accounting treatment and interpretation.
2	To identify and compare key differences in recognition, measurement, and disclosure of	Technical and procedural differences	Clear identification of major divergences and

	financial elements such as revenue, inventory, leases, and development costs.	between IFRS and GAAP	their implications for financial reporting.
3	To evaluate the impact of IFRS and GAAP on the quality, transparency, and comparability of financial statements.	Financial statement analysis, investor perspective	Assessment of how different standards influence reporting credibility and decision-making.
4	To assess the challenges and opportunities faced by companies transitioning from GAAP to IFRS (or vice versa).	Conversion process, compliance cost, and investor perception	Insights into implementation difficulties and benefits for multinational corporations.
5	To explore the progress of convergence initiatives between IASB and FASB and predict the future of global accounting harmonization.	Global standardization, policy alignment, and regulatory cooperation	Understanding the future prospects of unified international financial reporting.

Hypothesis

H₀ (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant difference between IFRS and GAAP in terms of financial reporting quality and comparability.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): There exists a significant difference between IFRS and

GAAP in terms of financial reporting quality and comparability.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative and descriptive research methodology to analyze the comparative dimensions of the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The methodology is designed to systematically examine conceptual differences, reporting frameworks, and the implications of these accounting standards for financial transparency and comparability.

Research Design

The research follows a comparative and exploratory design, focusing on identifying and interpreting the conceptual, procedural, and practical differences between IFRS and GAAP. The study does not involve primary data collection through surveys or experiments but relies heavily on secondary data sources, which provide a reliable and comprehensive basis for comparison.

A qualitative approach was preferred because it allows an in-depth understanding of accounting principles, interpretations, and their effects on reporting outcomes rather than mere numerical analysis.

Data Sources

The study uses **secondary data**, gathered from reputable and authoritative sources to ensure accuracy and academic reliability. These include:

- Publications and official documents from International Accounting Standards Board

(IASB) and **Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB)**.

- Accounting handbooks, professional journals, and scholarly articles related to IFRS and GAAP.
- Reports and technical updates from major accounting firms such as Deloitte, KPMG, Ernst & Young (EY), and PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC).
- Financial statements and disclosures of multinational corporations that report under both IFRS and GAAP (e.g., Apple Inc., Microsoft, and Nestlé).
- Government and regulatory documents, including those from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the IFRS Foundation.
- This combination of academic and practical sources ensures a balanced analysis that reflects both theoretical and real-world accounting perspectives.

Data Collection Technique

The data were collected through documentary analysis and content review of official accounting standards and company reports. Comparative charts and summaries were prepared to highlight differences in accounting treatments for selected financial elements such as:

- Revenue recognition
- Inventory valuation
- Lease accounting
- Intangible assets and development costs
- Financial instruments

The collection also included reviewing convergence project updates from IASB-FASB joint publications.

Data Analysis Technique

The study employed comparative content analysis to identify patterns, similarities, and deviations between IFRS and GAAP. The analysis involved three stages:

- **Identification of Conceptual Differences** – studying the principle-based versus rule-based philosophy.
- **Technical Comparison** – comparing the recognition, measurement, and disclosure rules of both standards.
- **Practical Implication Analysis** – assessing the impact on financial statements using Apple Inc. as a case example.
- Descriptive analysis was used to interpret findings logically and present them in a structured manner without bias.

Reliability and Validity of Data

To ensure reliability, only peer-reviewed academic publications, official accounting board documents, and authenticated corporate

financial statements were used. Data triangulation was applied—information from at least two independent sources was cross-verified to minimize errors or biases.

In addition, recent updates (up to 2024) were included to reflect current accounting practices, ensuring the research remains relevant and credible.

Scope and Limitations

The scope of this research is limited to the comparison of IFRS and U.S. GAAP frameworks. The study does not include country-specific adaptations of IFRS (such as IFRS in India—Ind AS). Another limitation is the qualitative nature of the research, which may not quantify the financial impact of adopting one standard over another. However, this approach provides a comprehensive understanding of conceptual and procedural variations that are critical to the academic and professional accounting community.

Ethical Considerations

All data sources used in this study are publicly available, properly cited, and acknowledged in the references section. The research follows ethical academic practices by avoiding plagiarism, ensuring transparency, and maintaining objectivity in interpretation.

Analytical Framework:

A comparative content analysis is used to evaluate differences in recognition, measurement, and disclosure under IFRS and GAAP.

The case study approach supplements this analysis to demonstrate practical implications in a real-world corporate setting.

Case Study: Apple Inc.

Apple Inc., a U.S.-based multinational corporation, reports its financial statements under U.S. GAAP but also reconciles its results with IFRS for global investors. The transition analysis between the two standards highlights key areas of divergence:

Accounting Area	IFRS Treatment	GAAP Treatment	Impact
Inventory Valuation	IFRS prohibits LIFO; only FIFO and weighted average allowed.	GAAP allows LIFO, FIFO, and weighted average.	Under GAAP, Apple may report lower profits during inflation if LIFO is used.
Revenue Recognition	Based on transfer of control (IFRS 15).	Based on transfer of risks and rewards (ASC 606).	IFRS provides broader flexibility; GAAP specifies detailed rules.
Development Costs	Capitalized when specific criteria are met.	Usually expensed as incurred.	IFRS results in higher asset base and future amortization.
Lease Accounting	Both lessees and lessors follow IFRS 16 principles, bringing most leases onto the balance sheet.	ASC 842 applies but maintains classification differences between operating and finance leases.	IFRS offers a more transparent representation of liabilities.

Findings from the Case Study:

IFRS-based reports offer greater transparency and international comparability.

GAAP ensures consistency and legal precision within the U.S. context.

Transitioning from GAAP to IFRS can lead to short-term volatility in earnings and balance sheet values.

Apple's example reflects broader corporate experiences: while IFRS simplifies global reporting, GAAP offers precision suitable for domestic regulatory requirements.

Discussion

The comparative analysis underscores that IFRS's principle-based approach enhances comparability across nations, whereas GAAP's detailed framework ensures consistency within jurisdictions. However, the coexistence of both systems leads to complications for multinational corporations, investors, and auditors. The ongoing convergence project between IASB and FASB aims to reduce these inconsistencies through the adoption of similar standards in areas like revenue recognition and leases. Yet, complete convergence remains a challenge due to differences in legal systems, taxation policies, and regulatory environments.

Conclusion

This study concludes that both IFRS and GAAP serve the fundamental objective of ensuring transparent, reliable, and decision-useful financial information. While IFRS promotes global harmonization through flexibility and professional judgment, GAAP maintains its relevance through detailed guidance and legal rigor. The move toward convergence represents a significant step toward enhancing global comparability, but challenges remain in full adoption. Companies operating internationally must, therefore, adopt dual reporting frameworks or adapt flexible accounting systems to meet diverse regulatory demands.

References:

1. Barth, M. E. (2018). Global comparability in financial reporting: What, why, how, and when. *Accounting and Business Research, 48*(5), 527–548.
2. Deloitte. (2023). *IFRS and US GAAP: A pocket comparison*. Deloitte Publications.
3. Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). (2023). *Accounting standards codification (ASC)*. FASB.
4. Olasedidun, O. K., Bola, O. O., & Falade, A. A. (2023). South-western Nigerian university students' gender use of mobile

- technologies for learning. *Shodh Sari-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 02(04), 4–14. <https://doi.org/10.59231/sari7620>
5. Kumar, S., & Simran, S. (2024). Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 pandemic on women’s mental health. *Shodh Sari-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 03(04), 366–375. <https://doi.org/10.59231/sari7769>
6. International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). (2023). *IFRS standards*. IFRS Foundation.
7. Nobes, C., & Parker, R. (2020). *Comparative international accounting*. Pearson Education.
8. Ganapathy, V. (2024). Decentralized identity verification in metaverse auditing using blockchain technology. *Shodh Sari-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 03(03), 66–88. <https://doi.org/10.59231/sari7719>
9. PwC. (2024). *Similarities and differences: A comparison of IFRS and US GAAP*.
10. SEC (U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission). (2022). *Work plan for the consideration of incorporating IFRS into the financial reporting system for U.S. issuers*.

Received on Aug 03, 2025

Accepted on Sep 15, 2025

Published on Oct 20, 2025

Comparative Study of IFRS and GAAP in Financial Reporting © 2025 by Simran is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0